

Canaport LNG Project

Canaport Community Environmental Liaison Committee (CCELC)

Minutes of Meeting CCELC # 59
Monday, 1 February 2010
Red Head United Church Hall, Saint John, NB
Meeting 6:08 pm – 7:50 pm

Committee Present:

- Armstrong, Carol Resident
- Brown, Alice Resident
- Dalzell, Gordon SJ Citizens Coalition for Clean Air
- Forsythe, Fraser Co-Chair (Canaport LNG)
- Garnett, Vern SJ Citizens Coalition for Clean Air
- Griffin, Dennis Resident
- Hunter, Roger Resident
- Johnston, Jan Resident
- MacKinnon, Claude ACAP Representative
- McNeill, Pam Resident
- Thompson, David H. Fundy Baykeeper

Committee Absent:

- Court, Ivan Mayor of Saint John
- Debly, Teresa Resident
- Griffin, Glenn Resident
- Melvin, Keith Department of Energy
- Perry, Yvonne Member
- Rogers, Kathy Member
- Smith, Elsie Resident
- Thompson, David Member
- Turner, Rick Saint John Board of Trade

Resources:

- Forsythe, Joel Fundy Engineering
- Kay, Jennifer Fundy Engineering
- O'Brien, Kevin City of Saint John
- Peterson, David Department of the Environment

Observers:

- 1 Person

(1) OPENING REMARKS:

The meeting commenced at 6:08 pm with Fraser Forsythe welcoming everyone. The agenda was approved.

Review & Approval of Minutes from Meeting #57 (14 December 2009):

The minutes of meeting #57 on 14 December 2009 were approved, motioned by Vern Garnett and seconded by David Thompson with the following amendments:

On page one in the observers section it should show two observers at the meeting.

Review & Approval of Minutes from Meeting #58 (11 January 2010):

The minutes of meeting #58 on 11 January 2010 were approved, motioned by David Thompson and seconded by Gordon Dalzell with no amendments.

The approved minutes will be posted to the Canaport LNG website (www.canaportlng.com).

(2) BUSINESS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MEETING

Q1: (David Thompson) I was wondering if the Department [DENV] found the letter from Carol Armstrong?

A1: (David Peterson) Yes we did find it.

Carol Armstrong stated that she did not mail the letter to the DENV rather, she faxed and emailed it.

Action Items from 11 January 2010 Meeting:

58-1 Regarding the contact information for the Terminal and public relations, Fraser Forsythe stated that he believed the information was sent to residents.

Q2: (Carol Armstrong) I have Kate Shannon's cell number but nothing else; are there other numbers?

A2: (Fraser Forsythe) There is a 24-hour number that is available.

58-2 Regarding the request for CLNG to provide assistance to Ms. Shaw; Canaport LNG discussed this and will not provide individual assistance but would contribute to a community effort.

Motions from 11 January 2010 Meeting:

Motion 58-1 Regarding the letter to the City of Saint John Mayor and Council from the committee requesting no cuts to fire service; the letter was submitted to Council on time.

Q3: (David Thompson) Has there been a response from Council regarding this letter?

A3: (Fraser Forsythe) None yet to me.

Motion 58-2 Regarding the request for rationale of not covering travel expenses; Canaport LNG has looked at other committees and found the reimbursement for travel expenses is split 50/50 amongst them and Canaport LNG will not cover travel expenses.

Gordon Dalzell stated that he brought up the question posed by Glen Griffin in the previous meeting with the Brunswick Pipeline regarding timing of shut downs in the event of a pipeline rupture. Mr. Dalzell said that he spoke with Rob Belliveau of the Brunswick Pipeline regarding this and Mr. Belliveau explained that a rupture could be detected in 1 to 2 seconds and then it would take approximately 2 to 3 minutes to shut the valves at which point the gas would bleed out of the ruptured section of the pipe; however, the exact amount of time for the gas to bleed out would depend on the location and size of the rupture. Mr. Dalzell added that a letter will be provided from Brunswick Pipeline that the committee may keep on record.

Action 59-1: Include information from Brunswick Pipeline letter with minutes once the letter is received.

(3) UPDATES

NBDENV Monthly Status Report

David Peterson provided an environmental update for the Terminal. Mr. Peterson stated that there were no significant environmental events. Mr. Peterson added that with respect to the committee's letter requesting monthly meetings that the DENV would be meeting to discuss the request.

The following questions / comments were made during the status report updates:

Q4: (David Thompson) What will you be recommending to the DENV on this issue?

A4: (David Peterson) I recommend that the committee should stick to its mandate. With respect to quarterly meetings, other committees have moved to this schedule; however, at the request of the committee. This committee is different because there are differing opinions on what is the optimum meeting frequency between the proponent and the committee. Also, I would put to the committee that they consider that the model currently used with two co-chairs and one being a proponent may not be ideal. I would suggest that the committee considers using a professional facilitator for the meetings.

Q5: (David Thompson) Would you suggest that the committee chooses the facilitator?

A5: (Fraser Forsythe) Canaport LNG is prepared to cover the costs of a professional facilitator and we will work with the committee to choose a person (i.e., facilitator) that both parties agree on.

Q6: (Gordon Dalzell) Canaport LNG is stating that they are expecting to be fully operational by April; is that when we would see a change in the meeting structure?

A6: (David Peterson) The DENV has not made a decision yet but I would expect that there will be a letter with our recommendations by the next meeting.

David Thompson suggested that all of the issues may not have been dealt with to the satisfaction of the committee. Mr. Thompson added that in other committees it was known when a quarterly meeting structure was good.

Q7: (Gordon Dalzell) You had mentioned before about restructuring of the DENV; would it be possible to get a copy of an organizational chart of the DENV?

A7: (David Peterson) I don't think there is one as yet. The changes to the department are not a secret but no formal announcement has been made.

Q8: (David Thompson) What changes will there be to the department?

A8: (David Peterson) We are trying to move to a less regionalized system. We are committed to offering retirement packages and relocation of resources. We may bring on some new staff but that is not known for sure.

Canaport LNG Site Update

Proceeding to complete tank 3 and are currently pressurizing the tank with nitrogen to dry out the tank and associated piping. Insulation work is ongoing. There is a very small amount of mechanical and electrical work being completed by Integral Energy Services and TAHK this work may complete this week. Terminal operations have been smooth with only some minor problems. The send out on Friday (29 Jan) was 600 mmscfd and this morning (1 Feb) it was 450 mmscfd. There have been 17 ship unloadings with one coming this Friday. The possibility of an audible alert along Red Head Road has been requested and the manufacturer is looking into this; should have a response by next meeting.

The following questions / comments were made during the update:

Q9: (Dennis Griffin) You had spherical tank ship in a while ago?

A9: (Fraser Forsythe) Yes, the Celestine River.

Q10: (Dennis Griffin) What was the volume of that ship?

A10: (Fraser Forsythe) She is approximately 135 000 m³.

Q11: (Dennis Griffin) Why that ship?

A11: (Fraser Forsythe) I'm not sure why.

Q12: (Dennis Griffin) What do you average for retainment?

A12: (Fraser Forsythe) Right now we have 164,000 m³ of LNG and we would draw down to approximately 4 m or about 17 000 m³ per tank.

Q13: (Dennis Griffin) When you draw down to your yield; are you prepared to shut down the line?

A13: (Fraser Forsythe) We would get a warning before then and would notify Gas Control.

Q14: (Gordon Dalzell) There was a recent article in the Globe and Mail where Enbridge warned that the Natural Gas Pipeline had excess capacity; I wonder what this would do to Canaport LNG?

A14: (Fraser Forsythe) It could have negative effect on us in my opinion because we import the liquid. If no one wants the gas then there isn't much point in us importing it.

Q15: (Carol Armstrong) Has there been more lighting turned on at the Terminal recently or have new lights been installed?

A15: (Fraser Forsythe) There is no new lighting at the Terminal. There may have been some additional lighting from the ship we had recently but we have not installed any new lights.

Q16: (David Thompson) Will the lighting be reduced following construction?

A16: (Fraser Forsythe) Yes, somewhat but maybe not enough that Carol would notice. We must have security lighting and process safety lighting but we may be able to look at ways that we could reduce this.

(4) MEMBER UPDATES

The following motion was put forth by Vern Garnett and seconded by Gordon Dalzell. The motion was voted on and carried.

Motion: CCELC to prepare a certificate for Stuart Armstrong for his service to the committee.

Q17: (Gordon Dalzell) I would like to ask Roger Hunter if he has any information on fishing with respect to the Terminal traffic.

A17: (Roger Hunter) The biggest issue is to know when there will be a ship on the pier. The exclusion sizes for the ship on the pier were increased by the port from 450 to 620 m, this does not present much additional risk but certainly is an issue as far as

transit time is concerned. Things have gone well so far and the fisher's only issue is with the exclusion zones.

Gordon Dalzell added that these comments exemplify the need for the committee to continue to meet on a monthly basis. The dialogue clearly shows that not all issues between Canaport LNG and some stakeholders have been resolved.

Q18: (David Thompson) There is a long outstanding action item for the SJPA to come to a CCELC meeting?

A18: (Fraser Forsythe) I have asked John McCann but I can't make him come to the meetings.

David Peterson explained that there was a joint Federal / Provincial release for the EIA and that the committee was set up under provincial regulations. No liaison committee was required between the proponent and local fishers.

David Thompson stated that the committee should hear from the SJPA.

GFraser Forsythe responded that he would take this as an action item and ask if a representative from the SJPA could come to the meeting.

Action 59-2: Request that a representative from the SJPA come and address the committee with respect to exclusion zones and vessel movements.

(5) NEW BUSINESS

2008 CCELC Yearly Report

The 2008 yearly report was approved motioned by David Thompson and seconded by Alice Brown with the following amendments:

The summary of environmental monitoring table (Table 11) will be removed and a sentence stating that monitoring results were conveyed to the committee in the monthly updates will be included.

The approved Yearly report will be distributed to the committee and a copy will be forwarded to the DENV.

2009 CCELC Yearly Report

The 2009 yearly report was distributed to the committee for review and comments may be given at the next meeting.

ADJOURNED:

7:50 pm

Submitted by: Fundy Engineering

NEXT MEETING DATE:

Monday, 8 March 2010 at 6:00 pm
Red Head United Church

ATTACHMENTS:

Table of Outstanding Action Items

Table of Actions/Responsibilities –1 February 2010

Action #	Action Item	Responsible Party	Due Date
59-1	Include information from Brunswick Pipeline letter with minutes once the letter is received.	Fundy Engineering	8 March 2010
59-2	Request that a representative from the SJPA come and address the committee with respect to exclusion zones and vessel movements	Canaport LNG	8 March 2010